

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,786	10/05/2004	Per HENRIKSON	7589.204.PCUS00	5785	
28694	7590 11/29/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
TRACY W. DRUCE, ESQ. NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG LLP			EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE		
1615 L STRE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 850 WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		1725	1725	
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annilla and a			
		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/711,786	HENRIKSON, PER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI ANNUAL DESCRIPTION	Lynne Edmondson	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address/			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u>	ctober 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,13-26 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9-12 and 27-30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2004</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Nod in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			
S. Patent and Tradamate Office	· ·····				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 13, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burke et al. (USPN 5475198).

Burke teaches a device and computer program for monitoring welding comprising a camera for reproducing the welding area, means for positioning the weld head (col 2 line 62 - col 3 line 27), illuminating means and a band filter (59) (col 4 line 43 - col 5 line 42). See also Burke claims 1-4.

3. Claims 1-8, 13, 17-26 and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaeffer et al. (USPN 6084205).

Schaeffer teaches a device and computer program for monitoring welding comprising a camera for reproducing the welding area, illuminating means and a band filter in the 300 to 550 nm range (col 3 line 42 – col 4 line 27). Welding parameters are controlled (col 2 line 54 – col 3 line 12), including position and geometry of the melt (col 4 line 28 – 63 and col 5 lines 5-13). See also Shaeffer claims 1-4 and 6-10.

Art Unit: 1725

4. Claims 1-8, 13-26 and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duley et al. (USPN 5659479).

Duley teaches a device and computer program (col 3 lines 10-44) for monitoring welding comprising a camera for reproducing the welding area, illuminating means and a band filter in the 300 to 500 nm range (col 2 lines 1-20). The camera comprises an attenuating filter (col 6 lines 44-63). Welding parameters and weldhead (laser) position are controlled (col 6 line 64 – col 7 line 11, col 8 lines 1-27 and col 9 line 55 – col 10 line 9), including position and geometry of the melt (col 4 line 28 – 63 and col 5 lines 5-13). See also Duley claims 1-4, 7, 9 and 12.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9-12 and 27-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bates et al. (USPN 6670574 B1, different filter), Fried et al. (USPN 6221068, FWHM) and Bellows et al. (USPN 5283418).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,786

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

LRE

LYNNE R. EDMONDSON PRIMARY EXAMINER